

Australia: Legal Framework for Digital Forensics

Evidence Act 1995 & Security of Critical Infrastructure Act 2018

In Australia, digital forensics investigations within critical sectors must adhere to stringent legislative requirements. Practitioners are tasked with balancing the rules of evidence for court admissibility with mandatory regulatory reporting and preservation duties.

1. Evidence Act 1995

The Evidence Act 1995 governs the presentation of evidence in federal courts. For forensic practitioners, compliance with this act is paramount to ensure that digital findings are accepted.

- **Expert Witness Reporting:** Expert witness reports must be rigorous, transparent, and defensible. A critical requirement is the inclusion of a **plain-language 1-page summary**. This summary must make complex technical findings accessible to judges and juries who may lack a technical background.
- **Admissibility:** Evidence must be proven relevant, authentic, and reliable. Any failure to document the forensic process or the chain of custody can jeopardize the admissibility of the evidence.

2. Security of Critical Infrastructure Act 2018 (SOCI)

The SOCI Act provides a regulatory framework for protecting critical infrastructure assets from cyber and physical threats. It places significant obligations on entities and, by extension, the forensic teams investigating them.

- **Mandatory Preservation:** Entities are required to maintain and preserve all forensic evidence related to cyber incidents that impact critical infrastructure assets. This evidence is vital for both regulatory compliance and potential criminal proceedings.
- **Incident Reporting:** The act mandates the timely reporting of critical infrastructure cyber incidents to the Australian Cyber Security Centre (ACSC), ensuring a coordinated national response.

Best Practice: The Expert Summary

Always draft the 1-page plain-language summary **before** finalizing the full technical report. This forces the practitioner to distill the most critical investigative findings and ensures that the core of the technical analysis is clearly articulated for legal stakeholders.

Strategic Implications for Practitioners

- **Documentation Rigor:** Maintain detailed records of all forensic tools, versions, and analytical steps taken. This documentation is essential for defending findings during expert testimony.
- **Regulatory Alignment:** For incidents involving critical infrastructure, ensure that all forensic preservation steps are fully aligned with SOCI requirements to avoid potential regulatory penalties.
- **Communication:** Prioritize the clarity of findings. If a judge or jury cannot understand the technical evidence presented, its value to the case is severely diminished.